

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/629,285	07/29/2003	Dawn White	DWH-11702/29	5710
75	10/19/2005		EXAM	INER
John G. Posa			SELLS, JAMES D	
Gifford, Krass, Groh, Sprinkle, Anderson & Citkowski, P.C.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
280 N. Old Wo	odward Ave., Suite 400		1734	
Birmingham, N	и 48009-5394		DATE MAILED: 10/19/200.	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			l'
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/629,285	WHITE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James Sells	1734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replant. Briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Ily be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communicatio NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	-
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u>8 July 2005</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	3
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the applicat			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-22 is/are without	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 23-27</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers	,		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection to		• •	٦٧
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		,	3).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	•	•	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	acaivad	
See the attached detailed Office action for a	nst of the certified copies flot to	scerveu.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su		
 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB 	/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of Info	Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-31-03	6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-11 and 23-27 in the reply filed on July 28, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the product of claims 12-22 cannot be made from another and materially different process since they depend from claim 1. This is not found persuasive because the method of making an article is not germane to the patentability of that article. In particular, the articles could be made in a mechanical consolidation process (i.e. mechanical pressing) instead of an ultrasonic, electrical resistance or frictional consolidation process.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-11 and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reddy et al (US Patent 5,792,677) in view of Dorfman et al (US Patent 6,103,392).

Reddy discloses a method of making an electronic device. As shown in the figures, this method involves providing a plurality of insulating substrate layers 12 and a plurality of metal layers 14. See col. 4, lines 27-47. It is the examiner's position that it is

Application/Control Number: 10/629,285 Page 3

Art Unit: 1734

well known in the art that metals inherently have a relatively high degree of thermal conductivity.

However, Reddy does not disclose the consolidation process as claimed by the applicant. Regarding this difference, the applicant is directed to the reference of Dorfman.

Dorfman discloses a method of making a composite. This method involves solid state sintering or consolidating metal materials into desired shapes (see col. 1, lines 46-51 and col. 3, lines 4-26).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ a solid state consolidation process, as taught by Dorfman, in the method of Reddy in order to fabricate the metal layers with desired shapes. In addition, without the disclosure of unexpected results, it is the examiner's position that the specific materials and consolidation process claimed by the applicant are within the purview of one having ordinary skill in the art and would have been obvious to employ in the method of Reddy as a matter of design choice based on the desired physical properties of the articles being manufactured.

References

4. Reference A is cited as prior art of interest.

Telephone/Fax

Application/Control Number: 10/629,285 Page 4

Art Unit: 1734

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James Sells whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1237. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 9:30 AM and

6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached at (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

JAMES SELLS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

TECH. CENTER 1700